

ATTACHMENT 3

TAB H

(Page 1 of 2)

(Adobe fill-able format available for this questionnaire as a separate attachment)


NAVFAC/USACE PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE (Form PPQ-0)	
CONTRACT INFORMATION (Contractor to complete Blocks 1-4)	
1. Contractor Information	
Firm Name: Frische - Mullin Inc.	CAGE Code: 677A9
Address: 5709 Opportunity Drive Toledo, Ohio 43612	DUNs Number: 005541594
Phone Number: 419-726-4900	
Email Address: rrego@fmmech.com	
Point of Contact: Ron Rego	Contact Phone Number: 419-726-4900
2. Work Performed as: <input type="checkbox"/> Prime Contractor <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sub Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> Joint Venture <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain)	
Percent of project work performed: 20%	
If subcontractor, who was the prime (Name/Phone #): Guam Pacific International (JV between CMS Corp. and TolTest, Inc.)	
3. Contract Information	
Contract Number: N40192-10-D-2800-0003	
Delivery/Task Order Number (if applicable):	
Contract Type: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Firm Fixed Price <input type="checkbox"/> Cost Reimbursement <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Please specify):	
Contract Title: Combat Communications Operations Facility	
Contract Location: Andersen Air Force Base, Guam	
Award Date (mm/dd/yy): 12/26/11	
Contract Completion Date (mm/dd/yy): 11/30/12	
Actual Completion Date (mm/dd/yy): est. 4/30/13	
Explain Differences: building delays	
Original Contract Price (Award Amount): \$596,526.00	
Final Contract Price (to include all modifications, if applicable): \$620,043.11	
Explain Differences (see previous page): requested change orders	
4. Project Description:	
Complexity of Work: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High <input type="checkbox"/> Med <input type="checkbox"/> Routine	
How is this project relevant to project of submission? <i>(Please provide details such as similar equipment, requirements, conditions, etc.)</i> This project was a new buliding and we provided all the HVAC scope to the GC. the location was the most challenge to us at the time. We opened a location on the island of Guam and set up our company to continue supporting a work force for future work.	
CLIENT INFORMATION (Client to complete Blocks 6-8)	
5. Client Information	
Name: Ernest C. Enrique, PE	
Title: President, TolTest, Inc.	
Phone Number: 419-794-3596	

Email Address: ernest.enrique@ltccorp.com

6. Describe the client's role in the project: Prime Contract holder GPI, a Joint Venture between CMS Corp and TolTest, Inc.

7. Date Questionnaire was completed (mm/dd/yy): 04/03/2013

8. Client's Signature:

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be "Ernest Enrique", is written over the signature line. The signature is stylized and somewhat illegible due to the cursive nature of the handwriting.

NOTE: USACE REQUESTS THAT THE CLIENT COMPLETES THIS QUESTIONNAIRE AND SUBMITS DIRECTLY BACK TO THE OFFEROR. THE OFFEROR WILL SUBMIT THE COMPLETED QUESTIONNAIRE TO USACE WITH THEIR PROPOSAL, AND MAY DUPLICATE THIS QUESTIONNAIRE FOR FUTURE SUBMISSION ON USACE SOLICITATIONS. CLIENTS ARE HIGHLY ENCOURAGED TO SUBMIT QUESTIONNAIRES DIRECTLY TO THE OFFEROR. HOWEVER, BY EXCEPTION, QUESTIONNAIRES MAY BE SUBMITTED DIRECTLY TO USACE. PLEASE CONTACT THE OFFEROR FOR USACE POC INFORMATION. THE GOVERNMENT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO VERIFY ANY AND ALL INFORMATION ON THIS FORM.

ATTACHMENT 3, TAB H

*ADJECTIVE RATINGS AND DEFINITIONS TO BE USED TO BEST REFLECT
YOUR EVALUATION OF THE CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE*

RATING	DEFINITION	NOTE
(E) Exceptional	Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds many to the Government/Owner's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor was highly effective.	An Exceptional rating is appropriate when the Contractor successfully performed multiple significant events that were of benefit to the Government/Owner. A singular benefit, however, could be of such magnitude that it alone constitutes an Exceptional rating. Also, there should have been NO significant weaknesses identified.
(VG) Very Good	Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds some to the Government's/Owner's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were effective.	A Very Good rating is appropriate when the Contractor successfully performed a significant event that was a benefit to the Government/Owner. There should have been no significant weaknesses identified.
(S) Satisfactory	Performance meets minimum contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor appear or were satisfactory.	A Satisfactory rating is appropriate when there were only minor problems, or major problems that the contractor recovered from without impact to the contract. There should have been NO significant weaknesses identified. Per DOD policy, a fundamental principle of assigning ratings is that contractors will not be assessed a rating lower than Satisfactory solely for not performing beyond the requirements of the contract.
(M) Marginal	Performance does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed reflects a serious problem for which the contractor has not yet identified corrective actions. The contractor's proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented.	A Marginal is appropriate when a significant event occurred that the contractor had trouble overcoming which impacted the Government/Owner.
(U) Unsatisfactory	Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains serious problem(s) for which the contractor's corrective actions appear or were ineffective.	An Unsatisfactory rating is appropriate when multiple significant events occurred that the contractor had trouble overcoming and which impacted the Government/Owner. A singular problem, however, could be of such serious magnitude that it alone constitutes an unsatisfactory rating.
(N) Not Applicable	No information or did not apply to your contract	Rating will be neither positive nor negative.