

## ATTACHMENT 3

TAB H

(Page 1 of 2)


*(Adobe fill-able format available for this questionnaire as a separate attachment)*

<b>NAVFAC/USACE PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE (Form PPQ-0)</b>	
<b>CONTRACT INFORMATION (Contractor to complete Blocks 1-4)</b>	
<b>1. Contractor Information</b>	
<b>Firm Name:</b> Frische - Mullin Inc.	<b>CAGE Code:</b> 677A9
<b>Address:</b> 5709 Opportunity Drive Toledo, Ohio 43612	<b>DUNs Number:</b> 005541594
<b>Phone Number:</b> 419-726-4900	
<b>Email Address:</b> rrego@fmmech.com	
<b>Point of Contact:</b> Ron Rego	<b>Contact Phone Number:</b> 419-726-4900
<b>2. Work Performed as:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Prime Contractor <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sub Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> Joint Venture <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain)	
<b>Percent of project work performed:</b> 95%	
<b>If subcontractor, who was the prime (Name/Phone #):</b> TolTest, Inc.	
<b>3. Contract Information</b>	
<b>Contract Number:</b> FA3002-08-D-0012	
<b>Delivery/Task Order Number (if applicable):</b> SH04	
<b>Contract Type:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Firm Fixed Price <input type="checkbox"/> Cost Reimbursement <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Please specify):	
<b>Contract Title:</b> Provide Design / Construction Services to upgrade the HVAC in building #132	
<b>Contract Location:</b> New Boston Aif Force Station	
<b>Award Date (mm/dd/yy):</b> 12/02/09	
<b>Contract Completion Date (mm/dd/yy):</b> 11/25/10	
<b>Actual Completion Date (mm/dd/yy):</b> 11/25/10	
<b>Explain Differences:</b> n/a	
<b>Original Contract Price (Award Amount):</b> \$60,000.00	
<b>Final Contract Price (to include all modifications, if applicable):</b> \$47,844.00	
<b>Explain Differences (see previous page):</b> reduction of scope after award of contract due to project changes.	
<b>4. Project Description:</b>	
<b>Complexity of Work:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High <input type="checkbox"/> Med <input type="checkbox"/> Routine	
<b>How is this project relevant to project of submission?</b> <i>(Please provide details such as similar equipment, requirements, conditions, etc.)</i> This project was performed in an operating building providing private security forces for the government inside the boundaries of the air station. The work was done during the day and working alongside the security workers. The scope for this project was an design and install of a complete new HVAC system along with Landscaping and some interior work.	
<b>CLIENT INFORMATION (Client to complete Blocks 6-8)</b>	
<b>5. Client Information</b>	
<b>Name:</b> Ernest C. Enrique, PE	
<b>Title:</b> President, TolTest, Inc.	
<b>Phone Number:</b> 419-794-3596	
<b>Email Address:</b> ernest.enrique@ltccorp.com	

**6. Describe the client's role in the project: Prime Contract Holder**

**7. Date Questionnaire was completed (mm/dd/yy): 4/3/2013**

**8. Client's Signature:**

A handwritten signature in blue ink, consisting of a large, stylized initial 'A' followed by a series of horizontal and vertical strokes.

**NOTE: USACE REQUESTS THAT THE CLIENT COMPLETES THIS QUESTIONNAIRE AND SUBMITS DIRECTLY BACK TO THE OFFEROR. THE OFFEROR WILL SUBMIT THE COMPLETED QUESTIONNAIRE TO USACE WITH THEIR PROPOSAL, AND MAY DUPLICATE THIS QUESTIONNAIRE FOR FUTURE SUBMISSION ON USACE SOLICITATIONS. CLIENTS ARE HIGHLY ENCOURAGED TO SUBMIT QUESTIONNAIRES DIRECTLY TO THE OFFEROR. HOWEVER, BY EXCEPTION, QUESTIONNAIRES MAY BE SUBMITTED DIRECTLY TO USACE. PLEASE CONTACT THE OFFEROR FOR USACE POC INFORMATION. THE GOVERNMENT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO VERIFY ANY AND ALL INFORMATION ON THIS FORM.**

ATTACHMENT 3, TAB H

*ADJECTIVE RATINGS AND DEFINITIONS TO BE USED TO BEST REFLECT  
YOUR EVALUATION OF THE CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE*

<b>RATING</b>	<b>DEFINITION</b>	<b>NOTE</b>
<b>(E) Exceptional</b>	Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds many to the Government/Owner's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor was highly effective.	An Exceptional rating is appropriate when the Contractor successfully performed multiple significant events that were of benefit to the Government/Owner. A singular benefit, however, could be of such magnitude that it alone constitutes an Exceptional rating. Also, there should have been NO significant weaknesses identified.
<b>(VG) Very Good</b>	Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds some to the Government's/Owner's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were effective.	A Very Good rating is appropriate when the Contractor successfully performed a significant event that was a benefit to the Government/Owner. There should have been no significant weaknesses identified.
<b>(S) Satisfactory</b>	Performance meets minimum contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor appear or were satisfactory.	A Satisfactory rating is appropriate when there were only minor problems, or major problems that the contractor recovered from without impact to the contract. There should have been NO significant weaknesses identified. Per DOD policy, a fundamental principle of assigning ratings is that contractors will not be assessed a rating lower than Satisfactory solely for not performing beyond the requirements of the contract.
<b>(M) Marginal</b>	Performance does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed reflects a serious problem for which the contractor has not yet identified corrective actions. The contractor's proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented.	A Marginal is appropriate when a significant event occurred that the contractor had trouble overcoming which impacted the Government/Owner.
<b>(U) Unsatisfactory</b>	Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains serious problem(s) for which the contractor's corrective actions appear or were ineffective.	An Unsatisfactory rating is appropriate when multiple significant events occurred that the contractor had trouble overcoming and which impacted the Government/Owner. A singular problem, however, could be of such serious magnitude that it alone constitutes an unsatisfactory rating.
<b>(N) Not Applicable</b>	No information or did not apply to your contract	Rating will be neither positive nor negative.